

Executive Director,
Mineral Resources Division,
Department of State Development
Level 7,
101 Grenfell Street,
ADELAIDE SA 5001.

Removal of S9AA from Mining Act

This submission opposes open cut and invasive mining/quarrying on all exempt land in South Australia, and any underground mining beneath that land.

This submission supports the original aim of 'exempt land' as defined in Section 9 of the Mining Act, 1971. Under that exemption, land that is cultivated as a yard, garden, cultivated field, plantation, orchard or vineyard is 'exempt land', as is land within 400m of a residence, land within 150m of a spring, well, reservoir or dam.

The Mining Act 1971 must be amended so that all freehold landholders have the right to veto mining on their land if it is Exempt Land under Section 9.

Insert a Principle Statement declaring all agricultural land exempt from mining activities Remove S9AA of the Mining Act

Redraft Section 9 (1), (2) and (3b) to remove any reference to waivers of exemption.

Landholders also must be eligible for independent advice and assistance from a Government funded Landowners Advisory and Support Committee to advise them of their rights If farmer agrees to grant a waiver, a legal directive must be signed by farmers verifying that, in making the decision, they are fully informed of their rights, understand what they are agreeing to (including possible long term consequences of having a fully developed mine/quarry on their property), have consulted a lawyer, and have not been subject to any coercion by the company.

I support the view that the current use of applications to the ERD court to be maintained.

Independent review of the Mining Act

A review of the mining act is required by completely independent body.

The Department have a conflict of interest as they are the promotor, arbiter and regulator of the mining industry.

DSD also has a vested interest as it receives its main income stream from Royalties, which adds to their well-documented agenda to expand the industry.

The Paper fails to address the social impacts on the viability of the pre-existing agricultural businesses and other industries, tourism or on the health and well-being of local residents and communities.

The Paper's is heavily biased in favour of the mining sector.

The present system for assessing, approving and regulating mining in the state is fundamentally flawed and DSD are not in a position to act in everyone's best interest to provide a balanced, and transparent review.

All public submission times should be extended to more equitable time of 5 months at minimum.

A commitment from DSD on further consultation on key issues raised by the review is required. Draft recommendations must also be made available to the public to comment before it reaches Parliament.

Environmental Management

I support the view that, responsibility for setting environmental standards and strong monitoring compliance with those standards should be transferred away from DSD to an independent environmental regulator.

Community Consultation Changes.

Commitment to full community consultation at each stage of the process Identification and engagement of <u>all</u> community stakeholders with supportive documentation of consultation with minutes of both 'one on one' meetings and group meetings signed off on by members of the community must be submitted with proposals as part of the approvals process.

Consultation minutes to contain a verifiable summary of the content of each discussion, including any negative feedback or objections.

Appointment of independent respected representative who is without a vested interest (NOT on proponent's payroll) to oversee the consultation process and report to the Government on its veracity and fairness.

The consultation process must have clearly defined terms of reference that are agreed upon by the broader community and adhered to by all parties.

Companies to provide the community with current and past operational mining/quarry-;

- o Rehabilitation and compliance directions/reports,
- o Incident reports,
- o Infringements,
- o Insurance compliance certificates,
- Notices for failure to comply,
- o Site audits and ongoing capability assessments as part of the approvals process.

Procedural fairness, a more equitable time frame for public submissions to be increased from 4 weeks to 5 months.

Amendments required for liability and compensation.

Adequate liability insurance cover should be guaranteed by both the State Government and the mining company to compensate all farmers for any claim against their products with regard to contamination caused by mining operations. With all invasive mining land use, then compensation for any loss of reputation, production, or price must be borne by those that approve such mining operations. Producers/businesses impacted by mining exploration should receive compensation immediately for the impact on their business, managing the exploration process. GPSA

Long term impacts on land values needs to be assessed and made available. Need for recognition of third party ie: lessee rights to compensation sharing.

Neighbouring landholders who are in an untenable position of being unable to sell due to the impacts of the close proximity of the mine must also be eligible for compensation.

Compensation towards legal costs in the current Act are too low (\$500). If any litigation or defence of a landholders legal rights to exemption, landowners must be able to claim all their legal and other out of pocket costs from the mining company.

Adequate compensation for loss of any income, land, house value etc. should be addressed by an ombudsman and land holders should not have to face the duress of court and be out of pocket for prohibitive court costs.

Government Real estate valuations must be provided to landholders within 10 km of the site prior to commencement of operations.

Annual Government valuations of comparable market values to verify impact of quarry/mine property on real estate sale, rental, lease hold and share farming values.

Adequate financial restitution for compensation and costs must be provided to those whose properties sale values reduce or fail to increase against Government comparable valuations due to impact of proximity to mine/quarry.

Adequate financial redress for compensation and costs to those whose properties rental, leasehold or share farming price reduce/ or fail to increase against Government Comparable valuations—due to impact of proximity to mine/quarry.

Government and Council to provide notification to all prospective purchasers within 5km of an EML or Claim and transport route.

This submission also advocates amendments to both the Mining Act and DSD's regulation, monitoring and implementation of the act

The Act must formally acknowledge and endorse the concept of social licence – i.e. that to get approval for a project, the company must demonstrate it has broad community support. Absence of a social licence must constitute grounds for rejecting a proposal.

A social and community impact assessment must also be conducted as part of the approvals process, not on the anticipated jobs created or dollars generated, but the personal impacts and harm that individual landowners and businesses are faced with.

As part of the assessment process, companies must be required to provide an accurate detailed cost/benefit analysis.

A comprehensive detailed online MLP application form provided by DSD incorporating a checklist to verify supporting documentation. Implementation of a more detailed and comprehensive online checklist similar to that of '2016 Mining Proposal Checklist' of Western Australia.

All correspondence by a company in regards to any tenement or mine proposal with DSD must also be freely available on DSD website.

Notice of entry is changed to 90 days

Commitment to fully costed and funded leading practice rehabilitation, including a requirement that post-mining, the site should be returned as closely as possible to premining conditions and pursuits

Independent agronomic impact statements must be included in all proposals on cultivated land.

Regulatory changes

Proposals that are not adequate or incomplete, fail to supply required supportive tests or data, and documented consultation minutes to be returned to the applicant for completion as per Mineral regulatory Guidelines MG1 Version 2 May 2015, Page 16 3.3 Flowchart of Mining

If the operator/explorer is non-compliant elsewhere in the state, interstate or overseas-;

- And has a mine proposal for assessment then the non- compliance information must be available to all stakeholders. Assessment of the proposal ceases until compliance requirements are fulfilled. Approvals of a PEPR or other approvals under the mining act are not granted until remedied.
- And is currently operating then all operations must cease until the issue is remedied. If not the licence is suspended.
- And attempts to purchase a tenement. The purchase is denied.

There must be a more extensive rigorous, on-site Govt monitoring of exploration/mining operations, specific penalties for breaches, and regular reporting by the regulators to the community on each exploration/mining project.

Misleading and false reporting of the consultation process is a deliberate act and of sufficient gravity to incur a maximum penalty payment and or withdrawal of PEPR to mine/quarry.

Definite time limits must be imposed on exploration/mining companies with penalties or mining/quarry licence cancellations imposed for failing to meet these limits.

A Mining Ombudsman, answerable to Parliament, must be appointed to provide an independent umpire to whom residents can refer unresolved complaints against a company.

Local communities must have the right to agree with or reject proposed changes to PEPR's for increased operational hours, blasting, noise, dust or traffic levels. Also changes in traffic routes, changes in utilization of heavier equipment or increased environmental impact on flora, fauna or water. The community are the ones who have to live with the subsequent impacts.

Corroboration of the veracity of exploration PEPR compliance reports is required, whereby it must also be co-signed by the landholder.

Online access to the following government and operator documents on DSD website for greater transparency and improved accountability.

- > Environmental and operational compliance audits/reports,
- All correspondence, data, meetings, etc. relating to DSD, tenement and the company must be freely available with no need to request it from FOI.
- Rehabilitation and compliance directions/reports,
- Incident reports,
- Bond amounts
- Insurance certificates
- > Infringements,
- Insurance compliance certificates

- > notices for failure to comply,
- > site audits and ongoing capability assessments.
- > Expected rehabilitation costs
- > Public liability insurance
- > Expenditure reports containing actual exploration expenditure
- > Statutory closure insurance
- Core sample information

Mine Closure and Rehabilitation.

Responsibility for managing mine rehabilitation should be transferred to an independent environmental regulator. Legislating best leading practice successful rehabilitation. Strong penalty measures to ensure tenement holders /operators meet their legal obligation of rehabilitation.

An independent audit of the current legacy mines/quarries is required with location, mine type, commodity, commodity size or mine footprint. A cost inventory data base for legacy mine rehab in SA is paramount so they can identify high risk sites and prioritize rehabilitation.

An independent audit of all current mining/quarry bonds held in South Australia is required to ensure that there is adequate financial assurance for proper rehabilitation of the site. 100% cash bond payment. Reviewed and updated every 3 years. Interest can be used to refund legacy mines.

Specialist professional expertise must be utilised in the drafting of a detailed closure plan. Estimated costs of closure and rehabilitation must be included in the requirements for any mining proposal.

I totally oppose the suggestion on Page 89: A simplified grant process for leases where the environmental assessment of an operations is left to the PEPR stage (with appropriate assessment processes being introduced at that stage. Granting a mining lease without evidence that the company has the financial capacity and technical capability to address all the potential environmental consequences puts the local community at great risk.

I support the establishment of a Government funded Landowners Advisory and Support Committee to redress many of the disadvantages currently facing farmers and local residents.

Mischelle, Camillo

